

08-08-00

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 BOX PATENT APPLICATION
 Washington, D.C. 20231

CASE DOCKET NO. CH919990018
 Date: August 7, 2000



Sir:

under Rule 1.53(f)

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application/of:

Inventors: Douglas Dykeman, Paolo Scotton, Claude Basso and Philippe Damon

For: ALTERNATIVE ROUTING IN PNHI HIERARCHICAL NETWORKS

Enclosed are:

☒ 2 (two) Sheets of Formal Drawings.☐ An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, New York 10504.☐ A certified copy of a _____ application.☒ Unsigned Declaration and Power of Attorney is attached to the application.☐ Associate Power of Attorney.☐ Information Disclosure Statement with form PTO-1449 with references attached.

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

OTHER THAN A
SMALL ENTITY

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	31 - 20 =	11
INDEP CLAIMS	5 - 3 =	0
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED		

RATE	FEE
	\$ 690.00
11\$ 18 =	\$ 198.00
5 \$ 78 =	\$ 390.00
+ \$ 260=	\$ 0.00
TOTAL	\$ 1278.00

If the difference in Col. 1 is less than
 zero, enter "0" in Col. 2.

☒ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 09-0468 in the amount
 of \$ 1278.00.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the
 following fees associated with this communication or credit any
 overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0468. A duplicate copy of
 this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 35 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Louis Herzberg
 Louis P. Herzberg
 Registration No.: 41,500
 Tel. (914) 945-2509

IBM CORPORATION
 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
 P.O. BOX 218
 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598

ExpressMail Label: EL7030443536US
 Date of Deposit: August 7, 2000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Dykeman et al.

Serial No.: To be assigned

Filed: Herewith

For: Alternative Routing in PNNI Hierarchical Networks

Docket No.: CH919990018US1

Group No.:

Examiner:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

Express Mail Label Number EL7030443536US

Date of Deposit August 7, 2000

I hereby certify that attached paper or fee:

Patent Application Transmittal Fee Sheet Under Rule 1.53(f) -Original and copy
Patent Application
Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application unsigned
2 (two) Sheets Formal Drawings
Return Post Card

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231.

Margie McCabe

(Name)

Margie McCabe

Signature

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate and the "Express Mail" label number as a part thereof or attached thereto. When, as here, the certification is presented on a separate sheet, that sheet must (1) be signed and (2) fully identify and be securely attached to the paper or fee it accompanies. Identification should include the serial number and filing date of the application as well as the type of paper being filed, e.g. complete application, specification and drawings, responses to rejection or refusal, notice of appeal, etc. If the serial number of the application is not known, the identification should include at least the name of the inventor(s) and the title of the invention.

Note: The label number need not be placed on each page. It should, however, be placed on the first page of each separate document, such as, a new application, amendment, assignment, and transmittal letter for a fee, along with the certificate of mailing by "Express Mail". Although the label number may be on checks, such a practice is not required. In order not to deface formal drawings it is suggested that the label number be placed on the back of each formal drawing or the drawings be accompanied by a set of informal drawings on which the label number is placed.

jc813 U.S. PTO
09/633767
08/07/00

ALTERNATIVE ROUTING IN PNNI HIERARCHICAL NETWORKS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to alternative routing of connections between source and destination nodes in PNNI hierarchical networks.

BACKGROUND

PNNI (Private Network-to-Network Interface) is a standards-based signaling and routing protocol which was approved by the ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) Forum in 1996 for use in ATM systems. The PNNI protocol provides, inter alia, a system for creation and distribution of topology information which determines how individual network nodes "see" the network and thus how nodes communicate. A key feature of the protocol is the ability to cluster groups of switches into "peer groups". The details of each peer group are abstracted into a single logical node which is all that can be seen outside of that peer group. This system is applied recursively so that PNNI can hierarchically aggregate network topology information. The hierarchical system is illustrated schematically in Figure 1 of the accompanying drawings which shows a simple, three-level hierarchical network. At the lowest level of the hierarchy in this example, the nodes labeled A1 to D2 represent real switches. The switches A1, A2 and A3 are clustered to form a peer group which is represented by a logical node A in the next level up of the hierarchy. The switches B1 and B2 form a peer group which is represented by logical node B in the next level. Similarly, switches C1 to C3 and switches D1 and D2 are represented by nodes C and D respectively in the next level. Further, nodes A and B are clustered and represented by logical node X in the upper level of the hierarchy. Nodes C and D are also clustered and are represented by logical node Y in the upper level.

The topology information available to the switches is such that each switch sees the details of its own peer group plus the details of any peer group that represents it at a higher level of the PNNI hierarchy. Thus, the network structure as seen by switch A1 in the above example is as illustrated in Figure 2 of the accompanying drawings. Switch A1 sees two links to logical node B and one link from B to logical node Y. Node B represents all of the switches in that group, namely

1 B1 and B2, and node Y represents all of the switches in groups C and D, namely C1, C2, C3, D1
2 and D2. As demonstrated by this Figure, the hierarchical aggregation of topology information leads
3 to loss of information. For example, the details of switches C1 to D2 are hidden to switch A1 which
4 sees only the logical node Y representing these switches. This loss of information can lead to
5 problems with the routing of connections for communication between nodes as will be
6 demonstrated below.

7 ATM is a source routing technology. When a connection is established between nodes, the
8 route that the connection takes through the network is specified by the first switch. This switch
9 specifies the route as a series of paths, one for each level of the PNNI hierarchy that is traversed
10 between the source and destination. The series of paths defining a route is known as a DTL
11 (Designated Transit List). For example, referring again to Figures 1 and 2, suppose that a call is
12 received at switch A1 addressed to an end system attached to switch D2. Due to the hierarchy,
13 switch A1 does not see D2 but rather the node Y which represents D2 at a higher level. Thus, A1
14 may compute the following DTL for establishment of the connection:

15 X, Y

16 A, B

17 A1, A2

18 At the lowest level of the hierarchy the call goes from A1 to A2. At the second level of the hierarchy
19 the call goes from A to B. At the top level of the hierarchy the call goes from X to the destination at
20 Y. In the real network, the connection setup will start at A1 and be forwarded to A2 as specified.
21 Switch A2 will forward the setup to switch B1 since it knows that its neighbor is in group B which
22 is specified in the computed path. Switch B1 will forward the setup to switch C1 since it knows
23 that its neighbor is in group Y which is specified in the computed path. C1 determines from the call
24 address that the call should be forwarded to node D, and thus from C1 the call may be transferred to
25 C2, and from there via D1 to the destination node D2.

26 To increase the probability that a connection can be established successfully, the ATM
27 Forum defines a crankback mechanism. If a call setup fails, the call is returned to node(s) that
28 created the path to attempt to choose an alternative path ("alternative routing"). To achieve this, the

1 call is returned with a crankback element giving an indication as to which element of the network
2 failed to forward the call. To illustrate the crankback mechanism, consider the situation where, in
3 establishing the connection in the above example, the links from C1 to C3 and from C1 to C2 do not
4 have sufficient bandwidth to support the call. In this situation the call cannot be established
5 successfully since C1 has no way to forward it to the destination D2. The crankback mechanism is
6 therefore used to return the call for possible alternative routing. The call is returned by C1 to B1.
7 Since the lowest common level of hierarchy between these two nodes is that containing nodes X and
8 Y, the call is returned with cause code "Node Y is blocked." C1 cannot tell B1 about the internal
9 links and switches in its peer group since B1 cannot see them. Since node B did not specify the path
10 X, Y it will not attempt to reroute the call. The call is next returned to switch A2, but since A2 did
11 not specify the path, it is immediately returned to switch A1. Switch A1 is thus informed that the
12 call setup failed because node Y is blocked. However, in the network structure as seen by A1, node
13 Y constitutes an element which provides the only way to access the destination node D1 to which
14 the call must be sent. Such an element, which provides sole access to a destination node, will be
15 referred to herein as a "sole-access element". According to the rerouting scheme which is specified
16 in the PNNI protocol, switch A1 will not try to find an alternative route for the call since a
17 sole-access element, here node Y, is blocked. Thus, a successful connection cannot be established
18 and the call setup fails.

19 The weakness of the above system can be seen from consideration of Figure 1 which shows
20 that there is a route over which the call could be established successfully, namely: A1, A3, B2, C3,
21 C2, D1 and D2. Thus, if switch A1 had originally specified the route:

22 X, Y
23 A, B
24 A1, A3

25 then the call setup would have been successful. However, even with the crankback mechanism, the
26 alternative routing scheme fails because a significant part of the network topology is hidden from
27 the switch attempting to reroute the connection.

1 SUMMARY

2 According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for alternative
3 routing of a connection between a source node and a destination node in a PNNI hierarchical
4 network, the method comprising responding to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
5 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
6 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
7 by:

8 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
9 said network structure;

10 identifying an alternative route for the connection in said network structure which does not
11 utilize the at least one selected element; and

12 using the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

13 DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

14 Preferred embodiments of the invention are described, by way of example, with reference to
15 the accompanying drawings in which:

16 Figure 1 is a schematic representation of an example of a PNNI hierarchical network;

17 Figure 2 illustrates the network structure as seen by the switch A1 in Figure 1;

18 Figure 3 is a schematic representation of another example of a PNNI hierarchical network;

19 and

20 Figure 4 illustrates the network structure as seen by the switch A1 in Figure 3.

21 DESCRIPTION

22 The present invention is predicated on the realization that a failed connection due to a
23 sole-access element of the network structure seen by the source node can occur due to selection of
24 another element in the route. Thus, by altering the selection of one or more non-sole-access
25 elements (ie. elements which are not sole-access elements), a route by which the connection can be
26 established correctly can often be found. For example, in the scenario discussed above where the

1 connection failed on the basis that the sole-access node Y was blocked, a different result could have
2 been achieved by making a different selection of the non-sole access elements earlier in the route.
3 Evidently if there are no non-sole access elements in a particular failed route then there will be no
4 alternative route to try. However, where there is at least one non-sole access element there will be at
5 least one alternative route, and embodiments of the present invention can identify this and reroute
6 the connection accordingly. Thus, embodiments of the present invention allow successful rerouting
7 of connections where the previous rerouting scheme would have failed, improving the overall
8 performance and robustness of networks in which the invention is applied.

9 In preferred embodiments of the invention, the method includes the step of checking whether
10 the alternative route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, wherein the alternative
11 route is used for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are satisfied. The set of
12 connection constraints may include one or more constraints and these may vary for different
13 connections. In particular, PNNI supports the use of Quality of Service (QoS) parameters whereby
14 incoming calls can specify certain constraints which the network must satisfy when establishing the
15 connection. Typical constraints relate, for example, to the bandwidth required by a call and the
16 acceptable delay introduced by the connection. The PNNI protocol allows a source node to
17 determine if these constraints can be met by a particular route, and if not the route will be rejected.

18 While methods can be envisaged in which the selected element or elements of the failed
19 route are nodes of the network structure, it is preferred that the selected elements, ie. the elements
20 which are avoided when determining the alternative route, are links of the network structure.
21 Various systems can be used for selecting the particular elements to be avoided when determining
22 an alternative route, and examples of preferred systems will be described in detail below.

23 In methods embodying the invention, if a successful connection is not established with a
24 first-identified alternative route (eg. because the route does not meet any specified connection
25 constraints or because the connection fails again when the alternative route is used), then the
26 method may be applied again making a different selection of non-sole-access elements if available,
27 to identify a different alternative route. Thus, methods embodying the invention may be iterative.
28 The number of attempts which are made to find a successful route may of course be limited to avoid

1 excessive use of resources. For example, while multiple attempts may be made to identify a “valid
2 route” (ie. a route which meets any specified connection constraints), the number of attempts to
3 reroute a connection using different valid routes may be limited. In particular, some embodiments
4 may make only one attempt at rerouting a connection in order to preserve network resources.

5 It is to be understood that, in general, where features are described herein with reference to a
6 method embodying the invention, corresponding features may be provided in accordance with
7 apparatus embodying the invention, and vice versa. In particular, a further aspect of the present
8 invention provides apparatus for alternative routing of a connection between a source node and a
9 destination node in a PNNI hierarchical network, the apparatus comprising:

10 memory for storing topology data, defining the network structure as seen by the source node,
11 and route data indicative of a route in said network structure used for establishment of a connection
12 between the source node and a destination node;

13 control logic configured to respond to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
14 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
15 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
16 by:

17 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
18 accordance with said route data;

19 identifying from said topology data an alternative route for the connection which does not
20 utilize the at least one selected element; and

21 outputting the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

22 The apparatus may be embodied in a source node such as a switch, router, bridge, brouter or
23 other network device. Alternatively, the apparatus may be embodied in a dedicated route server
24 associated with a peer group including the source node. The invention also extends to a PNNI
25 hierarchical network comprising such apparatus.

26 Preferred embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example, with
27 reference to the accompanying drawings.

1 In the following, a preferred method embodying the invention will initially be described in
2 detail, and operation of the preferred method will be described in relation to the networks of Figures
3 1 and 3. For the purpose of this example, it will be assumed that each switch in the exemplary
4 networks is capable of implementing the rerouting method, so that each switch in its own right
5 constitutes apparatus embodying the invention. To enable a switch to implement the alternative
6 routing method, control logic for implementing the various steps to be described is provided in the
7 switch. The control logic may be implemented in hardware or software or a combination thereof,
8 and suitable implementations will be apparent to those skilled in the art from the following
9 description.

10 The rerouting method performed by a switch can be summarized conveniently by the
11 following pseudo-code, where it is assumed initially that a failed connection between that switch
12 and a destination node has just occurred.

- 13 1. Block all the links used by the failed connection that are outside our peer group;
- 14 2. if (any link is a sole-access link)
15 then do
16 unblock the link giving access to it;
17 done
- 18 3. Store the list of blocked links in BL;
19 Sort BL by decreasing distance from source node (links closest to the destination at the
20 top);
- 21 4. Compute the route;
22 if (route is valid)

1 then do
2 give the route to signalling;
3 End;
4 done

5 5. Unblock all the links;

6 6. for all the elements in BL do
7 Unblock previous element if there was one;
8 Block the current element;
9 Compute the route;
10 if (route is valid)
11 then do
12 Give the route to signalling;
13 End;
14 done
15 done

16 7. Give 'No route to destination' to signalling.

17 In the above algorithm, a number of the steps refer to blocking or unblocking of certain
18 links. In reality, the links are not of course physically blocked or unblocked, but rather certain links
19 are selected or deselected, and as a result of this selection/deselection process certain links will be
20 considered to be blocked for the purpose of identifying an alternative route. This will be apparent
21 from the following description in which the way that the algorithm is implemented by a source
22 switch is considered in more detail.

23 In step 1, the switch control logic initially selects ("blocks") all links of the route used by the
24 failed connection which are outside its own peer group. The links used by the failed connection are

1 defined by route data which has previously been stored in a memory of the switch and can be
2 accessed by the control logic when required for the alternative routing scheme. In step 2, the control
3 logic deselects ("unblocks") any sole-access links since these must be used by any route to the
4 destination node. The control logic can identify sole-access links by reference to the topology data
5 which has been set up previously in accordance with the PNNI protocol and which is stored in the
6 switch memory. This topology data defines the network structure seen by the switch as described
7 earlier. In step 3, the control logic stores the remaining selected links, (the "blocked links"), as a
8 blocked-link list BL in the switch memory. The control logic then sorts the links in BL in order of
9 decreasing distance from the source node such that the link which is closest to the destination node
10 is at the top of the list.

11 After this initial selection process, in step 4 the control logic analyzes the topology data with
12 reference to the list BL to determine if there is an alternative route to the destination which does not
13 utilize any of the "blocked" links in BL. If an alternative route is identified, the control logic then
14 checks, by means of the known processing operations specified by PNNI which need not be detailed
15 here, whether the route satisfies any connection constraints, such as QoS bandwidth or delay
16 requirements etc., which have been specified by the incoming call. Again, these constraints will be
17 stored in the switch memory for access by the control logic. If the constraints are met then the
18 alternative route is deemed to be valid. If the route is valid, the control logic outputs the route to the
19 signaling circuitry of the switch (not described but of known form) for establishing the connection,
20 and the process is finished. If, on the other hand an alternative route identified in this step does not
21 satisfy the connection constraints and is therefore determined to be invalid, the process proceeds to
22 step 5 wherein all the links are "unblocked". Again, this "unblocking" of the links in step 5 is only
23 notional in that the "blocked" links in BL are simply treated by the control logic as deselected, or
24 "unblocked", for the start of step 6 to which the process now proceeds.

25 Step 6 begins with an iterative process in which the control logic selects, or "blocks", each
26 link in BL in turn, starting at the top of the list. When a link is selected, the control logic then
27 determines as described above whether there is a valid alternative route which does not utilize the
28 selected link. If so the route is output to the signaling circuitry for rerouting the connection and the

1 process terminates. If there is no valid route for the currently-selected link then the process proceeds
2 to the next pass of the iteration in which the currently-selected link is deselected, the next link in the
3 list BL is selected, and a valid alternative route is sought which does not utilize the newly-selected
4 link. If, after all links in BL have been selected in turn, no valid route has been found, then the
5 process proceeds to step 7 wherein the control logic outputs a message indicating that no valid route
6 to the destination node is available. This message is communicated to the signaling circuitry for
7 transfer to the device which originated the call.

8 Consideration of the above rerouting algorithm shows that it can be divided into two parts.
9 The first part consists of steps 1 to 4. This part aims to identify the most disjoint (most different)
10 route to that used by the failed connection. This is done by selecting all links in the set of
11 non-sole-access links in the route used by the failed connection which are outside the peer group of
12 the source switch, and identifying an alternative route which does not utilize any of these links. If
13 this fails then the second part, consisting of steps 5 to 7, is implemented. This part involves
14 selecting the non-sole access links of the aforementioned set one at a time, starting with the link
15 closest to the destination node, and checking for an alternative route which does not utilize the
16 selected link.

17 This particular algorithm makes only one attempt at rerouting a failed connection. Thus,
18 when a valid alternative route has been used for establishing a connection, if the connection fails
19 again then no attempt is made to find another alternative route. The first part of the method looks for
20 the most disjoint route since this generally has the best chance of success if used for rerouting the
21 connection. In the second part of the method, selecting links in order of increasing distance from the
22 destination node makes it likely that a more disjoint path, which generally provides a better chance
23 of a successful connection than a less disjoint path, will be found first. Thus, while only one
24 rerouting attempt is made to keep use of network resources to a minimum, the method ensures that
25 there is a good chance that this one attempt will be successful.

26 To demonstrate operation of the rerouting method, consider first the situation previously
27 described with reference to Figure 1 where a failed connection between source node A1 and

1 destination node D2 has just occurred due to insufficient bandwidth in the links from C1 to C2 and
2 C1 to C3 with the following route:

3 X, Y
4 A, B
5 A1, A2

6 In this case, switch A1 is a switch embodying the invention which can implement the preferred
7 method as described above. Thus, when the call is returned to A1 with the cause code "Node Y is
8 blocked", the rerouting algorithm is implemented even though node Y is a sole-access element. In
9 accordance with step 1 of the method, A1 first "blocks" all links used by the failed connection
10 outside of its peer group, namely A2 - B, and B - Y. It is apparent from Figure 2, however, that the
11 link B - Y is a sole-access link so this link is "unblocked" in step 2. The list BL in step 3 therefore
12 contains only A2 - B. The alternative route identified in step 4 is therefore:

13 X, Y
14 A, B
15 A1, A3

16 Assuming this route satisfies any specified connection constraints and is therefore deemed valid, the
17 route will be output for establishment of the connection. In the real network, the route will cause the
18 connection to go through the following sequence of switches: A1, A3, B2, C3, C2, D1 and D2, and
19 the connection will be established successfully.

20 Consider next the two-level hierarchical network of Figure 3. The lowest level of the
21 hierarchy in this network is similar to that of Figure 1 except that there are additional switches C4
22 and D3 interconnected as shown. The four groups of switches A1 to A3, B1 and B2, C1 to C4, and
23 D1 to D3 are clustered to form peer groups which are represented by logical nodes A, B, C and D in
24 the upper level of the hierarchy as indicated. In this example, however, the links interconnecting
25 peer groups are not aggregated in the hierarchy, so that, in the upper level, successive nodes A, B, C
26 and D are interconnected by two links. In each pair of links interconnecting two nodes, the upper
27 link in each pair is labeled 1 in the figure indicating that this link originates at a first port (port 1) of
28 the preceding node. The lower link of each pair is labeled 2 in the figure indicating that this link

1 originates at a second port (port 2) of the preceding node. The network structure as seen by node A1
2 in this case is as shown in Figure 4.

3 Suppose that, as before, switch A1 has received a call addressed to an end system attached to
4 D2 and computes the following initial route for the connection:

5 A1, B1, C1, D

6 A1, A2

7 where, in the path A1, B1, C1, D, the notation "A1" signifies node A, port 1, "B1" signifies node B,
8 port 1, and so on, so that the upper links 1 in Figure 4 should be used. In the real network the call
9 setup is forwarded by A1 to A2 as specified in the route. Switch A2 then forwards the setup directly
10 to B1 via the upper link 1 as specified in the route. Similarly, B1 forwards the setup directly to C1
11 via the upper link 1 as specified in the route. Suppose that, as before, the links from C1 to C2 and
12 from C1 to C3 do not have sufficient bandwidth to support the call so that the call cannot be
13 completed. The crankback mechanism is then used to return the call to the source switch A1 with
14 the cause code "Node C is blocked". Node C is a sole-access element of the network structure as
15 seen by A1 since any connection to the destination node for the call must utilize node C. Thus, with
16 the existing rerouting scheme specified by PNNI, A1 would not attempt to reroute the call since a
17 sole-access element is blocked. Here, however, the switches A1 to D2 are configured for
18 implementing the rerouting algorithm described in detail above. Thus, when the call is returned to
19 A1, A1 will initially block the links A1 - B, B1 - C and C1 - D used by the failed connection outside
20 its peer group (step 1). None of these links are sole-access links (step 2), so that, at the end of step 3,
21 the blocked link list BL will contain all these links in the order C1 - D, B1 - C, A1 - B. In step 4, A1
22 computes the following route which uses none of the "blocked" links in B:

23 A2, B2, C2, D

24 A1, A3

25 where, in the path A2, B2, C2, D, the notation "A2" signifies node A, port 2, "B2" signifies node B,
26 port 2, and so on, so that the lower links 2 in Figure 4 should be used. For the sake of example,
27 assume now that this route does not meet the specified delay constraints for the call, so that the
28 route is not valid. All the links in BL are then "unblocked" (step 5) and the process proceeds to step

1 6. In the first stage of step 6, the first link in the list BL, ie. C1 - D is initially blocked and the
2 control logic of A1 computes an alternative route which does not utilize this link. Since there is
3 more than one possible route here, in this embodiment the control logic selects a particular route at
4 random, though of course other selection systems could be used if desired. Thus, for example, A1
5 may identify the following route:

6 A1, B2, C2, D

7 A1, A2

8 Again for the sake of example, suppose that this route is deemed invalid. Switch A1 will then
9 continue the search for a valid route by selecting another route which avoids the "blocked" link C1 -
10 D, for example using the upper-level path A2, B1, C2, D. Suppose further that this route, and each
11 of the other possible routes which do not use the link C1 - D, is also found to be invalid. Switch A1
12 then continues with step 6 by unblocking C1 - D and blocking the next link in BL, namely B1 - C.
13 A1 now computes a route which does not utilize B1 - C. Again, since there is more than one route to
14 choose from here, a particular route will be selected at random. This may be a route already
15 identified as an invalid route, eg. the route using the upper level path A1, B2, C2, D, so the control
16 logic will select another route, eg:

17 A2, B2, C1, D

18 A1, A3.

19 Assuming this route satisfies the connection constraints, the route will be output to signaling. In the
20 real network, the connection will pass through the following sequence of switches: A1, A3, B2, C3,
21 C2, D1 and D2, and the connection will be established successfully.

22 When considering the application of the preferred algorithm in the networks of Figures 1 and
23 3, the description has focused on examples of failed connections where the failure is due to a
24 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node since these are the situations
25 where the existing rerouting scheme would inevitably fail to find an alternative route. It will be
26 appreciated however, that in practice the algorithm will be applied in response to any failed

1 connection whether or not due to a sole-access element, and provides a highly efficient rerouting
2 system in all circumstances.

3 It will also be appreciated that, while a particularly preferred embodiment has been
4 described in detail above, many changes and modifications can be made to the embodiment
5 described without departing from the scope of the invention. For example, in some situations it may
6 be acceptable, or even preferable, for step 3 of the algorithm to sort the links in BL by increasing
7 distance from the source node, ie links closest to the source node at the top. In some embodiments,
8 therefore, the control logic may be configured to implement the algorithm with this modification in
9 all cases, or the control logic may be configured to select which of the two orders to adopt in a
10 particular situation. In addition, in some embodiments step 6 of the above algorithm may be
11 modified by removal of the statement "unblock previous element if there was one". The effect of
12 this would be that, when performing step 6, the control logic would successively block more links in
13 each pass of the iterative process. This would reduce processing time by restricting the number of
14 routes tried by the control logic, while still providing a good chance of successfully rerouting a
15 connection.

16 As a further example, some embodiments may utilize only one part of the algorithm
17 described above, ie. steps 1 to 4 or steps 5 and 6. While the two-part algorithm is clearly more likely
18 to result in successful rerouting, use of either part on its own will still allow successful rerouting to
19 be achieved in many cases where the prior scheme would have failed, and these simpler algorithms
20 may be appropriate in some circumstances, eg. where it is desired to simplify the processing as far
21 as possible.

22 Further, while the above method makes only one attempt at rerouting a connection, in some
23 embodiments it may be desirable to make more than one attempt, or even to try all possible valid
24 routes before returning a "No route to destination" code. In these cases, if a valid alternative route is
25 used for establishing a connection and the connection fails again, then the failed route may be stored
26 in the control logic as an invalid route, and the method repeated to identify a new alternative route.

27 As a further example, in the networks as described above, each switch incorporates control
28 logic for implementing the rerouting method when a failed call is returned to the switch. In other

1 embodiments, the rerouting method may be implemented by a dedicated node, such as a route
2 server, which manages rerouting of calls for any source node within a particular peer group. Thus,
3 one such route server may be provided for each peer group, the route server being connected in the
4 peer group and sharing the same view of the network topology as the other nodes in the group.
5 When a failed call is returned to a source node in a given peer group, the source node simply sends
6 the details of the failed route to the route server together with any connection constraints and the
7 cause code for the connection failure. The route server then implements the rerouting method as
8 described above, returning the alternative route to the source node for forwarding to signaling.
9 The present invention can be realized in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and
10 software. The present invention can be realized in a centralized fashion in one computer system, or
11 in a distributed fashion where different elements are spread across several interconnected computer
12 systems. Any kind of computer system - or other apparatus adapted for carrying out the methods
13 described herein - is suitable. A typical combination of hardware and software could be a general
14 purpose computer system with a computer program that, when being loaded and executed, controls
15 the computer system such that it carries out the methods described herein. The present invention
16 can also be embedded in a computer program product, which comprises all the features enabling the
17 implementation of the methods described herein, and which - when loaded in a computer system - is
18 able to carry out these methods.

19 Computer program means or computer program in the present context is meant to include
20 any expression, in any language, code or notation, of a set of instructions intended to cause a system
21 having an information processing capability to perform a particular function either directly or after
22 either or both of the following a) conversion to another language, code or notation; b) reproduction
23 in a different material form.

24 It is noted that the foregoing has outlined some of the more pertinent objects and
25 embodiments of the present invention. Thus, although the description is made for particular
26 arrangements and methods, the intent and concept of the invention is suitable and applicable to
27 other arrangements and applications. It will be clear to those skilled in the art that modifications to
28 the disclosed embodiments can be effected without departing from the spirit and scope of the

1 invention. The described embodiments ought to be construed to be merely illustrative of some of
2 the more prominent features and applications of the invention. Other beneficial results can be
3 realized by applying the disclosed invention in a different manner or modifying the invention in
4 ways known to those familiar with the art.

[illegible]

CLAIMS

WE CLAIM:

1. A method for alternative routing of a connection between a source node and a destination node in a PNNI hierarchical network, the method comprising responding to a failed connection between said nodes due to a sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure, by:

selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in said network structure;
identifying an alternative route for the connection in said network structure which does not utilize said at least one selected element; and
using the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

2. A method according to claim 1 including checking whether said alternative route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, wherein said alternative route is used for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are satisfied.

3. A method according to claim 2 wherein said at least one element is a link of said network structure.

4. A method according to claim 3 wherein the step of selecting comprises selecting all non-sole-access links of the route used by said failed connection which are outside the PNNI peer group of the source node.

5. A method according to claim 3 wherein the step of selecting comprises selecting from the set of all non-sole-access links used by said failed connection which are outside the PNNI peer

1 group of the source node the link which is closest to a predetermined one of the source and
2 destination nodes.

3 6. A method according to claim 5 including:

4 (a) if a successful connection is not established using the alternative route, selecting from
5 said set of non-sole-access links the next closest link to the predetermined node, identifying a new
6 alternative route for said connection which does not utilize said next closest link, and using the new
7 alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes; and

8 (b) repeating step (a) for the new alternative route until all links in said set have been
9 selected.

10 7. A method according to claim 6 including checking whether an identified new alternative
11 route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, wherein the identified new alternative
12 route is used for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are satisfied.

13 8. A method according to claim 6 wherein said new alternative route does not utilize any link
14 of said set between said predetermined node and said next closest link.

15 9. A method according to claim 6 wherein said predetermined node is the destination node.

16 10. A method according to claim 4 wherein, if a successful connection is not established using
17 said alternative route, the method includes:

18 (a) selecting from the set of all non-sole-access links used by said failed connection which
19 are outside the PNNI peer group of the source node the link which is closest to a predetermined one
20 of the source and destination nodes, identifying a new alternative route for the connection which
21 does not utilize said closest link, and using the new alternative route for establishment of the
22 connection between said nodes; and

1 (b) if a successful connection is not established using the new alternative route, selecting
2 from said set of non-sole-access links the next closest link to the predetermined node, identifying a
3 new alternative route for said connection which does not utilize said next closest link and using the
4 new alternative route so identified for establishment of the connection between said nodes; and

5 (c) repeating step (b) for the new alternative route so identified until all links in said set have
6 been selected.

7 11. A method according to claim 10 including checking whether an identified new alternative
8 route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, wherein the identified new alternative
9 route is used for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are satisfied.

10 12. A method according to claim 10 wherein the new alternative route identified in step (b) does
11 not utilize any link of said set between said predetermined node and said next closest link.

12 13. A method according to claim 10 wherein said predetermined node is the destination node.

13 14. Apparatus for alternative routing of a connection between a source node and a destination
14 node in a PNNI hierarchical network, the apparatus comprising:

15 memory for storing topology data, defining the network structure as seen by the source node,
16 and route data indicative of the route in said network structure used for establishment of a
17 connection between the source node and a destination node;

18 control logic configured to respond to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
19 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
20 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
21 by:

22 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
23 accordance with said route data;

1 identifying from said topology data an alternative route for the connection which does not
2 utilize said at least one selected element; and
3 outputting the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

4 15. Apparatus according to claim 14 wherein the control logic is configured to check whether
5 the alternative route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, and to output the alternative
6 route for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are satisfied.

7 16. Apparatus according to claim 15 wherein said at least one element is a link of said network
8 structure.

9 17. Apparatus according to claim 16 wherein the control logic is configured to select all
10 non-sole-access links of the route used by said failed connection which are outside the PNNI peer
11 group of the source node when performing said selecting step.

12 18. Apparatus according to claim 16 wherein the control logic is configured to select from the
13 set of all non-sole-access links used by the failed connection which are outside the PNNI peer group
14 of the source node the link which is closest to a predetermined one of the source and destination
15 nodes when performing said selecting step.

16 19. Apparatus according to claim 18 wherein the control logic is configured such that:

17 (a) if a successful connection is not established using the alternative route, the control logic
18 selects from said set of non-sole-access links the next closest link to the predetermined node,
19 identifies a new alternative route for said connection which does not utilize said next closest link,
20 and outputs the new alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes; and
21 (b) the control logic repeats step (a) for the new alternative route until all links in said set
22 have been selected.

1 20. Apparatus according to claim 19 wherein the control logic is configured to check whether
2 an identified new alternative route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, and to output
3 the identified new alternative route for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are
4 satisfied.

5 21. Apparatus according to claim 19 wherein the new alternative route does not utilize any link
6 of said set between said predetermined node and said next closest link.

7 22. Apparatus according to claim 19 wherein said predetermined node is the destination node.

8 23. Apparatus according to claim 17 wherein the control logic is configured such that, if a
9 successful connection is not established using said alternative route:

10 (a) the control logic selects from the set of all non-sole-access links used by said failed
11 connection which are outside the PNNI peer group of the source node the link which is closest to a
12 predetermined one of the source and destination nodes, identifies a new alternative route for the
13 connection which does not utilize said closest link, and outputs the new alternative route for
14 establishment of the connection between said nodes; and

15 (b) if a successful connection is not established using the new alternative route, the control
16 logic selects from said set of non-sole-access links the next closest link to the predetermined node,
17 identifies a new alternative route for said connection which does not utilize said next closest link,
18 and outputs the new alternative route so identified for establishment of the connection between said
19 nodes; and

20 (c) the control logic repeats step (b) for the new alternative route so identified until all links
21 in said set have been selected.

22 24. Apparatus according to claim 23 wherein the control logic is configured to check whether
23 an identified new alternative route satisfies a set of predefined connection constraints, and to output

1 the identified new alternative route for establishment of the connection only if said constraints are
2 satisfied.

3 25. Apparatus according to claim 23 wherein the new alternative route identified in step (b) does
4 not utilize any link of said set between said predetermined node and said next closest link.

5 26. Apparatus according to claim 23 wherein said predetermined node is the destination node.

6 27. A source node of a PNNI hierarchical network, the source node having apparatus for
7 alternative routing of a connection between that source node and a destination node in the network,
8 said apparatus comprising:

9 memory for storing topology data, defining the network structure as seen by the source node,
10 and route data indicative of the route in said network structure used for establishment of a
11 connection between the source node and a destination node;

12 control logic configured to respond to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
13 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
14 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
15 by:

16 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
17 accordance with said route data;

18 identifying from said topology data an alternative route for the connection which does not
19 utilize said at least one selected element; and

20 outputting the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

21 28. A route server for association with a peer group of nodes in a PNNI hierarchical network, the
22 route server comprising apparatus for alternative routing of a connection between a source node in
23 said peer group and a destination node in the network, said apparatus comprising:

1 memory for storing topology data, defining the network structure as seen by the source node,
2 and route data indicative of the route in said network structure used for establishment of a
3 connection between the source node and a destination node;

4 control logic configured to respond to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
5 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
6 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
7 by:

8 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
9 accordance with said route data;

10 identifying from said topology data an alternative route for the connection which does not
11 utilize said at least one selected element; and

12 outputting the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

13 29. A PNNI hierarchical network comprising apparatus for alternative routing of a connection
14 between a source node and a destination node in said network, the apparatus comprising:

15 memory for storing topology data, defining the network structure as seen by the source node,
16 and route data indicative of the route in said network structure used for establishment of a
17 connection between the source node and a destination node;

18 control logic configured to respond to a failed connection between said nodes due to a
19 sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access
20 element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure,
21 by:

22 selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in
23 accordance with said route data;

24 identifying from said topology data an alternative route for the connection which does not
25 utilize said at least one selected element; and

26 outputting the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.

1 30. An article of manufacture comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable
2 program code means embodied therein for causing alternative routing of a connection between a
3 source node and a destination node in a PNNI hierarchical network, the computer readable program
4 code means in said article of manufacture comprising computer readable program code means for
5 causing a computer to effect the steps of claim 1.

6 31. A program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of
7 instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for causing alternative routing of a
8 connection between a source node and a destination node in a PNNI hierarchical network, said
9 method steps comprising the steps of claim 1.

004080 292E950

ABSTRACT

ALTERNATIVE ROUTING IN PNNI HIERARCHICAL NETWORKS

Methods and apparatus are provided for alternative routing of a connection between a source node and a destination node in a PNNI hierarchical network. The alternative routing method comprises responding to a failed connection between said nodes due to a sole-access element of the network structure as seen by the source node, where a said sole-access element is an element which provides sole access to the destination node in said network structure, by: selecting at least one non-sole-access element of the route used by the failed connection in said network structure; identifying an alternative route for the connection in said network structure which does not utilize the at least one selected element; and using the alternative route for establishment of the connection between said nodes.






Figure 3




Figure 4

004080" 434E960

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

ALTERNATIVE ROUTING IN PNNI HIERARCHICAL NETWORKS

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

_____ was filed on _____ as United States Application Number

or PCT International Application Number _____

and was amended on _____ (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, '119(a)-(d) or '365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or '365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application, having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed
_____ (Number)	_____ (Country)	_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)	____ Yes ____ No
_____ (Number)	_____ (Country)	_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)	____ Yes ____ No
_____ (Number)	_____ (Country)	_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)	____ Yes ____ No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. '119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

_____ (Application Number)	_____ (Filing Date)
_____ (Application Number)	_____ (Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. '120 of any United States Application(s), or '365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States, or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. '112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 CFR '1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

_____ (Application Serial No.)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)
_____ (Application Serial No.)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith (list name and registration number).

Manny W. Schecter (Reg. 31,722), Lauren C. Bruzzone (Reg. 35,082), Christopher A. Hughes (Reg. 26,914), Edward A. Pennington (Reg. 32,588), John E. Hoel (Reg. 26,279), Joseph C. Redmond, Jr. (Reg. 18,753), Douglas W. Cameron (Reg. 31,596), Louis P. Herzberg (Reg. 41,500), Marian Underweiser (Reg. 46,134), Stephen C. Kaufman (Reg. 29,551), Daniel P. Morris (Reg. 32,053), Louis J. Percello (Reg. 33,206), Marian Underweiser (Reg. 46,134), David M. Shofi (Reg. 39,835), Robert M. Trepp (Reg. 25,933), Paul J. Otterstedt (Reg. 37,411) and Wayne L. Ellenbogen (Reg. 43,602).

Send Correspondence to: Louis P. Herzberg, Intellectual Property Law Dept.

IBM Corporation, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number) Louis P. Herzberg (914)945-2509

Douglas Dykeman
Full name of sole or first inventor

Inventor's Signature

Date

325 Fairview Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598
Residence

Canada
Citizenship

Same as above.
Post Office Address

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATIONPaolo Scotton**Full name of second joint-inventor, if any**

Inventor's signature

Date

Turnhallenweg 3, CH-8803 Rueschlikon, Switzerland
ResidenceItaly
CitizenshipSame as above.
Post Office AddressClaude Basso**Full name of third joint-inventor, if any**

Inventor's signature

Date

7604 Percy CT, Raleigh, NC 27613, United States
ResidenceFrance
CitizenshipSame as above.
Post Office AddressPhillipe Damon**Full name of fourth joint-inventor, if any**

Inventor's Signature

Date

Apt S8, 1000Smith Level Road, Carrboro, NC 27510, United States
ResidenceFrance
CitizenshipSame as above.
Post Office Address**Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any**

Inventor's Signature

Date

Residence

Citizenship

Same as above.
Post Office Address**Full name of sixth joint-inventor, if any**

Inventor's signature

Date

Residence

Citizenship

Same as above.
Post Office Address